



Remediation Standardization Status

Matthew N. Wojcik

Background

- **Goal: Replicate for remediation the success SCAP has had transforming IT security assessment**
 - Now that we've found the problems, what do we do about them?
- **Approach: Identify technical use cases for remediation and analyze for possible standardization**
 - What are common processes for fixing discovered problems, and how could standardization help?
- **Result: Proposed remediation specifications**
 - The names, data exchange formats, and languages we need to share for remediation interoperability
 - See “Proposed Open Specifications for Enterprise Information Security Remediation” by Wojcik, Wunder, Kerr and Waltermire
- **Common Themes: Communication, interoperability and automation**

Definitions

- **Remediation:** A security-related set of actions that result in a change to a computer's configuration. May be motivated by discovered vulnerabilities or mis-configurations.
- **Vulnerability:** Something that lets an attacker:
 - Execute unauthorized commands
 - Bypass restrictions on data access or modification
 - Pose as another entity
 - Affect the availability of a system resource
- **Mis-configuration:** Any configuration state that does not comply with an organization's security policy

Basic Identified Use Cases

On one or more computing assets:

- Remediate all problems found by a prior assessment
- Remediate a subset of problems found by a prior assessment
- Apply one or more remediations regardless of current state
 - I.e., initiated by policy rather than an assessment

Common Remediation Enumeration (CRE)

- A method for assigning common identifiers (names) to remediations
 - Similar concept to CVE and CCE
- A CRE entry includes the minimum information necessary to show why the item is in the list, and differentiate it from other entries
 - Increases stability of CRE entries
- CRE data fields:
 - Unique identifier
 - Human-oriented prose description of the remediation
 - Supporting references
 - Metadata about the entry
 - Creation and modification dates, deprecation status, version, provenance

CRE Use Cases

CRE IDs can be used as unambiguous shared identifiers in:

■ System Design Requirements

- “Before deployment of systems running `cpe:/o:example:foo-os`, perform `cre:/com.example.cre:4`”

■ Remediation Policy Statements

- “If CVE-2009-XXXX is found on an internet-facing system, acceptable remediation options include `cre:/org.example.cre:23` and `cre:/com.example.cre:483`”

■ Response to Assessment

- “Perform `cre:/org.example.cre:79` on host 10.4.3.204 because it is out of compliance with requirements for CCE-2351-5”

■ Remediation Results

- “`cre:/org.example.cre:4` failed due to lack of disk space”

CRE Entry Example

ID	cre:/org.example.cre:513
DESCRIPTION	Install patch 'WindowsXP-KB971486-x86-ENU.exe'.
REFERENCES	(1) http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/Bulletin/MS09-058.msp (2) http://support.microsoft.com/kb/971486
Created	2009-10-15
Modified	2009-10-15
Deprecated	False
Version	1
Submitted By	ACME Inc.

Further CRE Examples

Some remediation statements to consider:

- **“Set minimum password length to 12 characters”**
- **“Uninstall cpe:/a:example:web-browser:3.5”**
- **“Disable telnet server via xinetd”**
- **“Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon, by setting the registry key HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Policies\System\DisableCAD to 0”**
- **“Set file permissions for /etc/shadow to 400”**

Comparable Statements

Remediation statements are made at various levels of granularity. What will receive a single CRE vs multiple CREs?

Food for thought:

- “Install patch for MS09-055”
- “Install patch Windows6.0-KB973525-x64.exe”

- “Set permissions on /etc/shadow to 400”

- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon”
- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon via local registry edit”
- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon via Group Policy”

- “Disable telnet server via xinetd”
- “Disable telnet server by changing mode on /usr/sbin/in.telnetd to 0”

Comparable Statements: Method and Effect

Proposed: Remediation statements that describe the same method and effect receive the same CRE. Differing method or effect receive different CREs.

Rationale:

- Allow selection of method appropriate to the environment
- Selecting a specific CRE should fully specify the expected system state change

Comparable Statements Revisited

Single CRE:

- “Install patch Windows6.0-KB973525-x64.exe” – Applies to multiple versions of Windows (x64 Vista & Server 2008)
- “Set permissions on /etc/shadow to 400” – cross-vendor

Multiple CREs:

- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon via local registry edit”
- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon via Group Policy”
- “Disable telnet server via xinetd”
- “Disable telnet server by changing mode on /usr/sbin/in.telnetd to 0”

Not CREs:

- “Install patch for MS09-055”
- “Require CTRL-ALT-DEL for logon”

Method & Effect: Some Observations

- **Method & Effect must be described in CRE entries**
 - Must be clear to the reader how CREs differ
- **Different Methods may have the same observable Effects depending on your perspective**
 - E.g., GPO and local registry edit may both lead to the same value in the local registry
 - Implication: Careful consideration of M&E is required when creating CREs
 - Implication: It may not be possible for a follow-up assessment of host state to determine which CRE was performed
- **Method & Effect content decision allows for cross-platform CREs**
 - Question: What are remediation vendors' practices?
 - Question: How will this affect federated CRE creation?

Parameters

Many remediation statements suggest the use of parameters.

Food for thought:

- “Set minimum password length to 8”
- “Set minimum password length to 16”

- “Enable telnet server via inetd”
- “Disable telnet server via inetd”

- “Install cpe:/a:example:web-browser:3.5”
- “Uninstall cpe:/a:example:web-browser:3.5”

- “Install patch foo with the /quiet option”
- “Install patch foo with the /nouninstall option”

Parameters: Some Observations

- **Assigning separate CREs for different possible parameter values seems unhelpful in most cases**
- **Configuration controls with simple literal values lend themselves to parameterization**
 - Minimum password length, UNIX file permissions
- **Configuration statements with conceptual parameters present more difficulties**
 - “Enable/Disable” a service – what are the literal values?
- **Selecting a parameter value may lead to other options**
 - “Install cpe:/a:example:web-browser:3.5 in D:\Program Files\”

Parameters: Further Observations

- **Selecting values for certain “parameters” may require different Methods, which violates the Method & Effect rule**
 - “Install/Uninstall” an app
- **Relationship to Method & Effect is not consistent with a remediation or parameter type**
 - **Varies between vendors**
 - **Varies over time**

CRE Entry Scope

Additional guidance is needed to determine allowable scope of a single CRE.

- Remediation statements often imply multiple steps
- “Method & Effect” is a yardstick for comparing remediation statements of similar scope

Food for thought:

- “Install patch foo”
 - Install the patch & reboot
- “Disable telnet server via inetd”
 - Edit inetd.conf & restart inetd
- “Disable specified xinetd brokered services”
 - Edit multiple xinetd configuration files
- “Disable Autorun” [Windows XP]
 - Set NoDriveAutoRun key, NoDriveTypeAutoRun, Non-volume AutoPlay Cancellation

CRE Entry Scope: Lowest Level

Proposed: CRE entries will be created at the lowest level of abstraction that remediates a CVE, mis-configured CCE, or affects installation status of a CPE

Rationale:

- **Avoid CREs with varying levels of abstraction**
- **Allow granular remediation requirements**
- **Grouping is easier than decomposition**

Observation:

- **“Atomic” remediation actions may still affect the status of multiple CVEs, CCEs, etc.**
- **Examples:**
 - **A patch install may fix multiple CVEs**
 - **Disabling xinetd (one CCE) will also disable subordinate services (each its own CCE)**

CRE Entry Scope Examples Revisited

-  ■ **“Install patch foo”**
 - Install the patch & reboot

-  ■ **“Disable telnet server via inetd”**
 - Edit inetd.conf & restart inetd

-  ■ **“Disable specified xinetd brokered services”**
 - Edit multiple xinetd configuration files

-  ■ **“Disable Autorun” [Windows XP]**
 - Set NoDriveAutoRun key? NoDriveTypeAutoRun? Non-volume AutoPlay Cancellation?

For More Information

- Watch the SCAP Emerging Specifications Page at <http://scap.nist.gov/emerging-specs/listing.html>
 - Overview whitepaper will be posted shortly, CRE and ERD whitepapers & samples forthcoming
- Monitor the emerging-specs@nist.gov email list
 - Announcements and technical discussions
 - See <http://scap.nist.gov/community.html> to subscribe
- Email the developers
 - Matthew N. Wojcik <woj@mitre.org>
 - John Wunder <jwunder@mitre.org>
 - Matt Kerr <Matt.Kerr@g2-inc.com>
 - David Waltermire <david.waltermire@nist.gov>

Backup Slides

Extended Remediation Data (ERD)

- ERD defines the additional information about CRE entries needed to fully support the identified remediation use cases
- In most cases, this additional information about remediations is available, but not conveniently collected or presented
- As CRE is analogous to CVE, an ERD record is similar to the NVD entry for a CVE
- Keeping ERD separate from CRE reduces the volatility of CRE entries and allows for localized ERD records
- ERD does not prescribe a schema or presentation format

ERD Use Cases

■ Remediation Discovery

- Which CREs are available on a given platform? For a particular CVE or CCE?

■ Remediation Selection

- Of the possible CREs, which are appropriate for the enterprise or situation? Are there known conflicts with critical applications? Are any superseded?

■ Order of Remediation Operations

- Are there pre- or post-remediation steps that must be taken?

■ Localized Remediation Details

- Specify organization-specific information about CREs

ERD Contents

- **Unique ERD record identifier**
- **CRE reference**
- **Platform list**
 - **What can the CRE be run on?**
- **Indicators**
 - **Why might the CRE be used? E.g., CVEs, CCEs**
- **Pre-requisites**
- **Supersedes**
 - **Does the CRE render others obsolete?**
- **Operational impact**
- **Remediation instructions**
 - **Human- and/or machine-readable**
- **Reboot required?**
- **Metadata about the ERD record**

ERD Example

ID	erd:/com.example.erd:37
CRE REFERENCE	cre:/org.example.cre:513
PLATFORMS	cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp::sp2:home cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp::sp2:professional cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp::sp3:home cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp::sp3:professional
INDICATORS	CVE-2009-2515, CVE-2009-2516
PRE-REQUISITES	None
SUPERSEDES	cre:/org.example.cre:129
OPERATIONAL IMPACT	None
INSTRUCTIONS	Execute WindowsXP-KB971486-x86-ENU.exe
REBOOT	True
Created	2009-10-15
Submitted By	ACME Inc.
Deprecated	False